

CASE NO.: 50P4257.03
Serial No.: 09/972,760
April 25, 2005
Page 6

PATENT
Filed: October 5, 2001

Remarks

Reconsideration of the Office Action is respectfully requested. The informality in the specification is noted and will be corrected upon allowance.

Claims 1-3, 11, and 13 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by Underbrink et al., USPN 6,532,370, and Claims 4-10, 12, and 14-16 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Underbrink et al. in view of Gomez et al., USPN 5,884,195. Claims 17-21 have been rejected as being unpatentable over Underbrink et al. in view of Gomez et al., and further in view of Redmond, USPP 2002/0056142.

To overcome the rejections, the claims have been amended to specify that the wireless module is removably connected to the phone, and moreover that the MIN of the removable module is sent to the phone upon verification of proper operation of the removable module as disclosed on, e.g., page 6, lines 14-20, which is further recited as being done, in the case of independent Claims 1 and 11, to facilitate communication using a common phone number with either format. While Gomez et al. indeed discloses such a thing as a MIN, it does not do so in the context now claimed, nor is there any evidence suggestion to do so.

The fact that Applicant has focussed its comments distinguishing the present claims from the applied references and countering certain rejections must not be construed as acquiescence in other portions of rejections not specifically addressed.


The Examiner is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned at (619) 338-8075 for any reason which would advance the instant application to allowance.

1168-105.AMD

CASE NO.: 50P4257.03
Serial No.: 09/972,760
April 25, 2005
Page 7

PATENT
Filed: October 5, 2001

Respectfully submitted,



John L. Rogitz
Registration No. 33,549
Attorney of Record
750 B Street, Suite 3120
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619) 338-8075

JLR:jg

1168 105.AMD